IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES	*
v.	* * CRIM NO. 20-553
JOSE GONZALEZ	*
JOOL GOIVEINEDE	*
ODDED DECADDING LISE OF VII	**** DEO CONFEDENCINC/TELECONFEDENCINC
ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS	
In accordance with Standing Orde	er 2020-06, this Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juver	nile) has consented to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to cond	duct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and	
That the proceeding(s) to be held	I today cannot be further delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the following	ng specific reasons:
SEE ATTACHED	
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on the	nis date may be conducted by:
Video Teleconferencing	
Teleconferencing, because video	o teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:	
The Defendant (or the J	uvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.	
Other:	
Date: JULY 21, 2022	Bru Mat

Honorable Brian R. Martinotti United States District Judge

ATTACHMENT A

The Court finds that the plea and sentencing for a violation of supervised release hearing to be held on July 21, 2022, cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through a timely plea and sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation.
- 2. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to be resolved today through a timely sentencing.
- 3. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters now by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to function effectively. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity.